



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,432	03/24/2004	Tadashi Yoshida	1341.1197	4260
21171 7	7590 12/01/2005		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HUYNH, NAM TRUNG	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/807,432	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nam Huynh	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		with the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION OF THIS	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 March 2004.					
·—	, -					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected t the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawin	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervies	w Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Traffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/05. 	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,432 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-12, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanno et al. (US 2004/0049454) in view of Blair (US 2004/0049467).
- A. Regarding claims 1, 9, 11, and 17, Kanno et al. discloses an electronic money settlement method using a mobile communication terminal comprising the following:
 - A settlement input unit or salesperson terminal that allows a user to enter information corresponding to purchased goods (page 3, paragraph 0048).
 - A memory that stores the prices, and preferably the names of the goods from the input unit (page 3, paragraph 0045).
 - A mobile communications terminal that is able to communicate with the settlement input device via a mobile communications network (page 2, paragraph 0028).
 - A settlement device that allows the user of a cellular phone to make an electronic payment (page 7, paragraphs 0101-0105).

Kanno et al. does not explicitly disclose access to a website by both the salesperson and customer terminals. However, Kanno et al. does disclose that the mobile communication terminal is connected to the Internet and the settlement device (page 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/807,432

Art Unit: 2643

paragraph 0026). The settlement input device has a communication unit that is able to communicate with the settlement device through cables (page 3, paragraph 0049). Furthermore, the settlement system may have different configurations just as long as the mobile communications terminals are able to communicate with the settlement device via the mobile communications network and the settlement input device is able to communicate with the settlement device (page 2, paragraph 0029).

Page 3

Blair discloses an apparatus and method for electronic authentication of electronic transactions conducted on the Internet in which customers or users using interface devices such as a wireless telephone are connected via the Internet to a plurality of vendors (page 1, paragraph 0017). The users or customers access Internet web sites through registration and authentications (page 2, paragraph 0019). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the registration and Internet accessibility of the invention of Blair with the settlement input device and mobile communication terminal of Kanno et al. in order to allow a customer on-site and off-site merchant access through use of the Internet.

- B. Regarding claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 12, and 16, Blair discloses several examples of sales sites in figure 2 that are accessible from a customer's wireless computer device.

 These sales sites are an accessing and registration destination for the customer.
- C. Regarding claims 3 and 18, the limitations are rejected as applied to claim 1. In the combination of Kanno et al. and Blair, the settlement input device or salesperson terminal has Internet access. Kanno et al. further discloses a controller for the

settlement input device that calculates the sum of prices of all the purchased goods and displays the results (page 3, paragraph 0044).

- D. Regarding claims 7 and 15, the limitations are rejected as applied to claim 1. In the combination of Kanno et al. and Blair, the settlement input device or salesperson terminal has Internet access. Kanno et al. further discloses that a store clerk may use a bar code reader to read the bar code printed on each of the goods and the bar code data is sent to the controller of the settlement input device (page 3, paragraph 0053).
- E. Regarding claim 20, Kanno et al. discloses that programs used by the controller to control operations are stored in memory (page 3, paragraph 0038). Therefore rendering a computer program.
- 3. Claims 5-6, 13-14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanno et al. (US 2004/0049454) and Blair (US 2004/0049467) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Sanders et al. (US 2003/0158811).
- A. Regarding claims 5, 13, and 19, the limitations are rejected as applied to claim 3. The combination of Kanno et al. and Blair does not explicitly disclose a pre-registered schedule for payment. Sanders et al. discloses a system and method for rules based electronic funds transaction processing that utilizes recurring or scheduled transactions such as those applied to a mortgage, insurance, and/or public works utilities (page 13-14, paragraph 0332). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to follow the teachings of Sanders et al. and implement a system and method for recurring payments in the combination of Kanno et al. and Blair in order to fulfill the electronic payment transaction needs of various organizations.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,432 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

B. Regarding claims 6 and 14, Blair discloses several examples of sales sites in figure 2 that are accessible from a customer's wireless computer device. These sales sites are an accessing and registration destination for the customer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nam Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5970. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m.-5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NTH 11/17/05